UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 FEB 26 PH 4: 23

TO FR	: U.S.DI	STRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE n, Deputy Clerk RECEIVE	Gonzalezhern district di California ED DATE: 2/21/2008 DEPUTY	
CASE NO.: 08cv0159 IEG (CAB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner				
CASE TITLE: _Malone v. Campbell				
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion Seeking Leave of Court to Amend				
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:				
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy		
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supportin	g documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper		
$\bar{\Box}$	5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.			
$\overline{\Box}$	7.1 or 47.1	7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely		
\bar{x}	7.1 or 47.1	or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document		
	7.1 or 47.1	•		
$\bar{\Box}$	7.1.	Missing table of contents	•	
ā	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself		
\bar{a}	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order		
$\overline{\Box}$		Supplemental documents require court order		
\overline{a}	•	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest		
		OTHER:		
		· .		
	•	Da	te forwarded: 2/21/2008	
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:				
W	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.			
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.			
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers			
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF:			
	Dated: 2	34/08 By: JAP Prose	3	